

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Z.B., a minor, and J.B., a minor, by their
guardian, S.S., and S.S., an individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DELTA AIR LINES, INC.;
BRIAN PARTRICK DURNING; and
DOES 1-5, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-06190-FLA (DFM)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO
REMAND ACTION TO STATE
COURT [DKT. NO. 14]**

On August 2, 2024, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Remand the Action to State Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1447(c) on the grounds that the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction because complete diversity between the parties does not exist. Dkt. No. 14 ("Motion to Remand"). Delta Air Lines, Inc. filed a Response in Opposition to the Motion to Remand on August 16, 2024.

The court, having considered Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand and the Response in Opposition thereto hereby DENYS the Motion to Remand.

The court finds that it has original jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

1 The court finds, by a preponderance of evidence, that the parties are completely
2 diverse because the plaintiffs and the defendants are citizens of separate states.

3 The court further finds, by a preponderance of evidence, that the amount in
4 controversy exceeds the jurisdictional threshold of \$75,000.

5 The court further finds that Delta Air Lines, Inc.'s Notice of Removal complied
6 with the removal procedures of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8
9 Dated:

10 FERNANDO L. AENLLE-ROCHA
11 United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28